

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/236,113	01/25/1999	XU SHI	1581.0250001	1912	
	00 00 00 00 00 00	•			
759		FOY			
STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE N W SUITE 600			EXAMINER		
			CANTELMO, GREGG		
WASHINGTON	N, DC 200053934		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745	21	
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/236,113	SHI ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregg Cantelmo	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a virinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applic atimely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper repl th places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the apportunity punt of the fee. The apportunity of the fee.	on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2. The proposed amondment(s) will not be entered by	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		,
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	•	- at a Herrian adversaria a const	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplitying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	IS.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecting</li></ol>	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17-25.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		/	
	Pa	atrick Ryan	
	Superviso	ry Patent Examiner ogy Center 1700	



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claims 1, 10 and 11 further defining the magnetic field arrangment appears to raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.